# Paper M2

Clerk

**Appeals Process** 



# Paper M2



### Clerk

**Appeals Process** 

#### **Basic Information**

Contact name and email address	Michael Hopkins clerk@urc.org.uk
Action required	Decision
Draft resolution(s)	Acting on behalf of General Assembly, Mission Council updates the definition of a dissentient in the Appeals process as set out in paper M2 of Mission Council November 2017.

#### **Summary of Content**

Subject and aim(s)	A drafting error has been pointed out, which this paper proposes to correct.
Main points	The current definition of a dissentient inadvertently excludes someone who is the subject of a decision from appealing, unless they are a member of the relevant Council, meaning that, for instance, someone could not appeal a synod decision that directly affected them unless they were a member of the synod. This is against natural justice, and was not intended.
Previous relevant documents	n/a
Consultation has taken place with	The General Secretary

#### **Summary of Impact**

Financial	n/a
External (e.g. ecumenical)	n/a

## **Appeals Process**

- 1. The current definition of a dissentient inadvertently excludes someone who is the subject of a decision from appealing, unless they are a member of the relevant Council, meaning that, for instance, someone could not appeal a synod decision that directly affected them unless they were a member of the synod. This is against natural justice, and was not intended.
- 2. Mission Council is asked to update the definition of a "dissentient" at paragraph 9.20 in the Rules of Procedure to "...a member of that council, *or a person directly affected by a decision of that council*, who dissents...".